



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

08/818,520

03/14/1997

JIM FARQUHAR

P97.0500-R

9633

27557

7590

06/05/2006

BLANK ROME LLP

600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W.
WASHINGTON, DC 20037

EXAMINER

YAO, SAMCHUAN CUA

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1733

DATE MAILED: 06/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/818,520	FARQUHAR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sam Chuan C. Yao	1733	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Sam Chuan C. Yao.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. Charles Wolfe, Jr.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
N/A

Claims discussed:
all pending claims


Prior art documents discussed:
N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Suggested for Counsel to submit another specification and claims which comply with the reissue practice (i.e. bracket and underlining); and, a new oath which reflects the new amendment (particularly, adding a new dependent claim to claim 1). It was noted that the amendment to a drawing which includes a groove was not entered as it introduces new matter to the original disclosure. Counsel agreed.